



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 24, 2004

Mr. James T. Russell
Administrative Assistant
27th Judicial District
P.O. Box 540
Belton, Texas 76513-0540

OR2004-4210

Dear Mr. Russell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201998.

The District Attorney's Office for the 27th Judicial District of Texas (the "district attorney") received a request for any and all records regarding a named individual. You claim that portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.²

You claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and encompasses information protected by other statutes. *See Gov't Code*

¹Although you initially also raised sections 552.103, 552.107, 552.108, 552.111, 552.134, and 552.136 of the Government Code, you do not submit arguments in support of a claim under any of these sections. Therefore, we assume that you no longer claim these exceptions. *See Gov't Code* §§ 552.301, .302.

² You state that some of the requested information that has been submitted to this office is a representative sample. We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See Open Records Decision* Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

§ 552.101. Criminal history record information (“CHRI”) generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety (“DPS”) maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 - .127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. However, the definition of CHRI does not include driving record information maintained by DPS under chapter 521 of the Transportation Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.082(2)(B). The district attorney must withhold any CHRI falling within the ambit of these state and federal regulations pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.

We also note that the submitted records contain fingerprint information. Section 552.101 also encompasses sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003 of the Government Code, which govern fingerprint information and provide:

Sec. 560.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter

(1) “Biometric identifier” means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.

(2) “Governmental body” has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government.

Sec. 560.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

(1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:

(A) the individual consents to the disclosure;

(B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or

(C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and

(2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 560.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

Gov't Code §§ 560.001-.003.³ Thus, pursuant to section 552.101 and section 560.003, the district attorney must withhold the fingerprint information you have marked, unless section 560.002 permits its disclosure.

Among the documents at issue is a presentence investigation report, which is made confidential under section 9(j) of article 42.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and thus must be withheld pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code. Article 42.12, section 9(j), provides as follows:

(j) The judge by order may direct that any information and records that are not privileged and that are relevant to a report required by Subsection (a) or Subsection (k) of this section be released to an officer conducting a presentence investigation under Subsection (i) of this section or a postsentence report under Subsection (k) of this section. The judge may also issue a subpoena to obtain that information. A report and all information obtained in connection with a presentence investigation or postsentence report are confidential and may be released only to those persons and under those circumstances authorized under Subsections (d), (e), (f), (h), (k), and (l)

³ These sections, formerly found at chapter 559 of the Government Code as sections 559.001, 559.002, and 559.003, were renumbered by the Regular Session of the Seventy-eighth Legislature, effective September 1, 2003. See Act of May 20, 2003, 78th Leg., R.S., ch. 1275, § 2 (78), 2003 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 4140, 4144.

of this section and as directed by the judge for the effective supervision of the defendant. Medical and psychiatric records obtained by court order shall be kept separate from the defendant's community supervision file and may be released only by order of the judge.

Because none of the circumstances described in subsections (d), (e), (f), (h), (k), or (l) appears to be present here, we conclude that the presentence investigation report is made confidential by statute and therefore is excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Further, we have marked the addendum to the presentence investigation report, which is also excepted by section 552.101. Accordingly, the district attorney must withhold these documents.

In addition, we note that the remaining submitted information includes social security numbers that may be confidential under federal law. Section 552.101 also encompasses amendments to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), that make confidential social security numbers and related records that were obtained or are maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). We have no basis for concluding that the social security numbers at issue are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) and therefore excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security number information, the district attorney should ensure that no such information was obtained or is maintained by the district attorney pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

You contend that some of the remaining submitted information is protected by the common-law and constitutional rights to privacy, which are encompassed by section 552.101. The doctrine of common-law privacy protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. 540 S.W.2d at 683.

Constitutional privacy consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters. Open Records Decision No. 455 at 4 (1987). The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy," which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The scope

of information protected is narrower than that under the common-law doctrine of privacy and includes only information that concerns the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (citing *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)).

This office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under constitutional or common-law privacy: an individual's criminal history when compiled by a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990) (citing *United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989)); some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990); information concerning the intimate relations between individuals and their family members, *see* Open Records Decision No. 470 (1987); and identities of victims of sexual abuse, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982).

Having reviewed the submitted information, we agree that some of it is protected by common-law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101 on that basis. We have marked this information. However, we find that none of the remaining information in these documents is protected by a right of privacy and may not, therefore, be withheld from disclosure on that basis.

Finally, we address your claim that the submitted records also contain information relating to a driver's license or motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(2). You must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the district attorney must withhold the following under section 552.101 of the Government Code: (1) CHRI obtained pursuant to the state or federal regulations governing such information; (2) fingerprint information in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code, unless section 560.002 permits its disclosure; (3) the presentence investigation report and addendum made confidential by section 9(j) of article 42.12 of the

Code of Criminal Procedure; and (4) the information that we have marked in conjunction with common-law privacy. Social security numbers may be confidential under federal law. Texas-issued driver's license and motor vehicle record information must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.130. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jennifer E. Berry".

Jennifer E. Berry
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JEB/sdk

Ref: ID# 201998

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Raechel Carter
Paralegal to David A. Wright
Davis & Wilkerson, P.C.
P.O. Box 2283
Austin, Texas 78768-2283
(w/o enclosures)